

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| | T | | 1 | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| 10/589,405 | 08/11/2006 | John W. Babich | 346715-0626 NATL | 8331 | |
| 48329 FOLEY & LA | 7590 07/26/2011 RDNER LLP | EXAMINER | | | |
| | GTON AVENUE | | JONES, DAMERON LEVEST | | |
| BOSTON, MA | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| / | | | 1618 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/26/2011 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|----------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| | 10/589,405 | BABICH ET AL. | |
| Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | D. L. Jones | 1618 | |

| | D. L. Jones | | 1018 | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the c | over sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 To FA 1.3.1; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rej | ection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. If no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any series date the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled. MOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| □ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.13 T must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | hed Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the | | | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | ☑ will not be | e entered, or b) 🔲 wi | Il be entered and an explanation of | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ided below o | or appended. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 75 and 76. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 75 and 76. Claim(s) rejected: 74 and 133. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 58-73,134 and 13 | <u>5</u> . | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and | | | | | | | |
| was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | Jones/ 7/24/11 ary Examiner | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1618

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Examiner has reviewed Applicant's arguments and amendment. The amendment requires additional considertion and searching. Furthermore, it should be noted thit while the proposed amendment may overcome the 102 rejection, the full scope of the instant invention has not been searched.

/D. L. Jones/ 7/24/11 Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1618